

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/680,265	MARINCA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Helen Rossoshek	2825

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) Examiner Helen Rossoshek.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Steven Henry (Registration No. 27,900).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10 August 2006

**Time:** N/A

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-37

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed to restrict claims of the instant Application into two Groups: Group I (claims 1-10, 17-37); Group II (claims 11-16). Applicant's representative elected Group I for further examination without traverse.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
Helen Rossoshek  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)